Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY
2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

MAJORITY (202) 225–5074 MINORITY (202) 225–5051 https://oversight.house.gov

December 9, 2024

Mr. Michael Shellenberger Founder of Public P.O. Box 8538 Albany, CA 94707

Dear Mr. Shellenberger:

Enclosed are post-hearing questions that have been directed to your attention and submitted to the official record for the Subcommittee on Cybersecurity, Information Technology, and Government Innovation and the Subcommittee on National Security, the Border, and Foreign Affairs joint hearing that was held on Wednesday, November 13, 2024, titled "Unidentified Anomalous Phenomena: Exposing the Truth."

To ensure a complete hearing record, please return your written response to the Committee on or before Monday, December 23, 2024, including each question in full as well as the name of the member. Your response should be addressed to the Committee office at 2157 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20515. Please also send an electronic version of your response by email to Mallory Cogar, Chief Clerk, at mailto:mallory.cogar@mail.house.gov.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this request. If you need additional information or have other questions, please contact the Committee on Oversight and Accountability staff at (202) 225-5074.

Sincerely,

Nancy Mace, Chairwoman

Subcommittee on Cybersecurity,

Information Technology, and Government Innovation

Glenn Grothman, Chairman Subcommittee on National Security, the Border, and Foreign Affairs

cc: The Honorable Gerald Connolly, Ranking Member Subcommittee on Cybersecurity, Information Technology, and Government Innovation

The Honorable Robert Garcia, Ranking Member Subcommittee on National Security, the Border, and Foreign Affairs

Questions for Mr. Michael Shellenberger Founder Public

November 13, 2024: Subcommittee on Cybersecurity, Information Technology, and Government Innovation and Subcommittee on National Security, the Border, and Foreign Affairs joint hearing titled "Unidentified Anomalous Phenomena: Exposing the Truth"

Questions from Rep. Eric Burlison

- 1. Can you outline the scope and methods of your investigation into Immaculate Constellation, including any sources or whistleblowers you've spoken to?
- 2. According to your reporting, what is the purpose and structure of the Immaculate Constellation program, and how does it relate to UAP research and management within the U.S. government?
- **3.** Can you provide more details on the alleged database of UAP-related imagery, videos, eyewitness accounts, and electronic sensor evidence compiled under Immaculate Constellation? How extensive is this database, and what types of information does it contain?
- **4.** Have you obtained any primary documents or records that substantiate the existence and activities of Immaculate Constellation? If so, can you share them with the Committee?
- 5. The Department of Defense (DoD) has officially denied the existence of the Immaculate Constellation program. How do you respond to these denials, and what evidence do you believe contradicts the DoD's statements?
- **6.** Assuming Immaculate Constellation exists as you've described, what implications does this have for our understanding of UAP and the U.S. government's handling of these phenomena?
- 7. What recommendations do you have for Congress and the executive branch to ensure greater transparency, accountability, and cooperation on UAP-related issues, including any potential connections to Immaculate Constellation?

Can you outline the scope and methods of your investigation into Immaculate Constellation, including any sources or whistleblowers you've spoken to?

I vet all my sources to make sure they are who they say they are. I had multiple sources for this information. I won't reveal who they are beyond what I said during my testimony.

According to your reporting, what is the purpose and structure of the Immaculate Constellation program, and how does it relate to UAP research and management within the U.S. government?

I have nothing to add beyond my testimony.

Can you provide more details on the alleged database of UAP-related imagery, videos, eyewitness accounts, and electronic sensor evidence compiled under Immaculate Constellation? How extensive is this database, and what types of information does it contain?

I have nothing to add beyond my testimony.

Have you obtained any primary documents or records that substantiate the existence and activities of Immaculate Constellation? If so, can you share them with the Committee?

I have nothing beyond what I shared with the Commitee

The Department of Defense (DoD) has officially denied the existence of the Immaculate Constellation program. How do you respond to these denials, and what evidence do you believe contradicts the DoD's statements?

I trust my sources and would note that <u>the DoD lied</u> about UAPs as recently as December. "There are no reported or confirmed drone sightings in any restricted airspace," claimed Pentagon spokesman John Kirby.

Kirby stressed this point. "I want to go back to something I said at the top. There has been no evidence of any of this activity in or near restricted spaces."

A few days later Kirby was forced to admit that unidentified "drones" had, in fact, been flying over US military bases.

Assuming Immaculate Constellation exists as you've described, what implications does this have for our understanding of UAP and the U.S. government's handling of these phenomena?

Immaculate Constellation is further confirmation that the DOD has been intensely studying UAPs since at least the 1940s, and that it has been actively spreading disinformation about them and its study of them.

What recommendations do you have for Congress and the executive branch to ensure greater transparency, accountability, and cooperation on UAP-related issues, including any potential connections to Immaculate Constellation?

I applaud the increasingly assertive tone taken by Congress toward the DOD's unconstitutional secrecy around UAPs. I encourage Congress to insist upon full disclosure of the information the DOD and other government agencies have been hiding about UAPs. It is clear that the DOD is hiding information without national security justifications for doing so.